

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/827,812		POLLARD ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Luke Gilligan		3626		

All Participants:

(1) Luke Gilligan.

(2) Brinsley Fuller.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Fuller indicated that the Office Action mailed 6/17/05 was never received but did confirm that it was mailed to the correct street address. Mr. Fuller further indicated that the Application may be petitioned for revival upon receipt of a notice of abandonment.


Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JOSEPH THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)